SERMON 82585

The passage from Ephesians that we read today has been a troublesome and often misunderstood passage and has been used by many a Christian preacher (always a male) who has mounted his pulpit to proclaim with abnormal vigor and vulgar solemnity that here in the word of God is that key passage which clearly indicates that a women's place is in the home submitted to her husband. For does it not say, here plainly in the scripture, "Wives, submit yourselves unto your own husbands, as unto the Lord." Clearly a mandate from God. Or is it?

Perhaps the authors of the lectionary are up to something else. Perhaps they are hoping that by setting this passage in a non-matrimonial service between an appropriate Old Testament lesson and an appropriate Gospel lesson they might at last set this passage free...free from personal and perjorative use by men who would twist the word of God to suit their own ends. For you see, that is exactly what the author of Ephesians has done. He has taken a common first century cultural assumption about the relationship of men and women and twisted it to suit the new life of Jesus Christ. But the casual reader and the poor exegete will, of course, miss that, as will the literalist preacher who is content to look no further in the Bible than the line in question for his textual evidence of God's will.

Let us do differently. Let us follow the authors of the lectionary and see what they have offered us for our consideration. The setting of the passage from Joshua is a ceremony of covenant renewal between God and his people. Having recited the acts of God that indicate his loving faithfulness towards his people, Joshua puts the question to his people. Are you going to serve and be faithful to God or not? He goes on to say that one cannot promise to serve God and then not do it, for God will be really angry at a broken promise. But the central question for the tribes on that day is, who are you going to serve? Not a bad question for us to answer either.

In our time and culture when we place a high priority on personal freedom and glibly fantasize that we can do what ever we want, when we want, we tend to forget the things and people that are our true masters. We serve our bellies, our possessions, our own sets of wants and needs. Are you free? Try holding your breath for five minutes and see how that works. Try not eating. Try living without learning...try living without money. The truth is that we all serve something or someone. So the question this day is Joshua's question, who are we going to serve?

The lesson from the Gospel recounts for us the withdrawal of some of the disciples from following Jesus after his teaching about the bread of life. Access to eternal life, he proclaimed, comes only through the appropriation of himself. Stated in the graphic terms of the gospel the nature of the intimacy between the disciple and Jesus must occur by the consumption of the very body and blood of Jesus, and then and only then will the disciple find eternal life.

It is Joshua's question all over again. Choose this day whom you will serve. Is it going to be Jesus, the Son of God, or is it going to be your own construct of a way to God and his eternal life? The terms of the covenant and contract had been struck. This is my commandment that you love one another. I will be your God, you will be my people...I am the bread of life, you must eat this bread...Reconcilliation with God begins with the crucifixion of Jesus. And the very nature of the intimate bonding between Jesus and salvation was too muuch for many of the disciples and they withdrew. They could not submit themselves, if you will, to the will of God. A divorce took place.

Now then if we get off the line about wives submitting themselves to

the tensage tram Ephradians chair we read coder has been a truchrosser radiovand **naivelin**ou a vinam yn baar head aad hina agelaaso beelsthalmin in my hillige. erwick is mater who has mounted bus bulbit to here caim with abnormar vigor are digger so manite and meternation word. Thou is smeethey bestuge which miesmly wipaces bust a women's place is in the name scumitted to her numbernd. there to the say, here plainly in the scripture, "Wives, submit yourselves o to en an indeband as unto the Lord." Therety a mandage from God. Or is it. Paymand the accurate of the lectronary are up to combining also. recognitions are a ping that by selving this passage in a non-metrimonia sing between an appropriate old look mant lesson and an approximate obspor we all the fight at lest set this passage free...free nrom watsonal a way ee, με επιστες by mea who roughd bwist the vard of Göd to suit concir own cias. or the section is essetly what the mather of Ephesians has done. He has gett of lament first thick to be and answerbien about the relationship of men and the case of the contract of the of oraces Christ. But the casual Jelian production of the second establishment of the continuous second of the second of the continuous second of the cont promote the tip on the care is the pible then the line of

aggertion for his textual evidence of God's and.

Lift of do differently. Let us delign the authors of the rections and message as a symptom of the retting of the resting of the result.

Descript Having a read or an enough of coverant curewal between God and his required the read of the dissection of the his peculiar fattificiness serve and or reithful to God or rot; he gods on the say that one cannot promise to be used then not do it, for bot will be really angly at a production of the central question for the tribes on that day is, who are you going a serve. But the central question for us to answer eithe.

In our cime and clicity tradesize that we place a high priority on personal freedom and griply tradesize that we can do what ever we want, when we want, we lead to forget the things and people that and our true masters. We serve on earlies, our posessions, our own sets of wants and needs. Are you free? If conding your breath for five minutes and see how that works. Try not eating. In try of its oing, or your living without money. The truth is that we also serve something or someone. So the question this day is Joshua's question, are we doing to serve?

the reason from the Gospet recounts for us the withdrawal or some of the disciples from rollowing Jenus after his teaching about the bread of life. A cases to eternal fine the procession of through the appropriation of the most of the one of the intime transfer in a companie of the intime transfer is an about or one of the intime transfer is a consume of the intime and one of the one of the time eterns.

It is boshed's neestica all over again. Choose this day whom you wold nave. It is going to be despited the Son of each or is it going to be you own construct of a way to tod and his eternant tree? The terms of the coverant and countries need been struct. This is no commandable that you love one another want to your sous you will be my people... am the bread of life, you must eat this pread...Reconstitution with God begins with the crucitivian of despessable and the crucitivian of despessable had it was too many of the disciples and they withdraw. They could not submit themselves it you will to the will of duct. A divorce took place.

New Shen if we get off the line about wives submission; themserves to

their husbands, we find an adjacent line that suggests not only that husbands love their wives, which in fact was an outrageous statement in its day and context, but further that husbands love their wives as Christ loved the church and gave himself up for her. That, dear friends in Christ, was, when it reached the streets of Ephesus for the first time, about as radical a notion as suggesting that the United States unilaterally surrender to the Soviets. What the author of Ephesians had done was to take an ordinary cultural assumption, namely that the male was hierarchically superior to the female and reduce that claim to ashes. He begins the discussion by suggesting that everyone, males and females, be subject to one another out of reverence to Christ. That claim for equality was shocking enough. But then to go on and suggest that the male should sacrifice himself for the preservation of the personhood and identity of the female, well, that was truly out of the question. Tough stuff even in this day and age.

But now set this passage into the whole context of the letter to the Ephesians and another often overlooked reality comes clear. The author is not saying so much about marriage as he is saying something about the church. the first chapters he has set down a doctrinal claim that the church is the sign of the Kingdom of God, created by God, established by Jesus, and empowered by the Holy Spirit to proclaim the new creation. In the last three chapters he gives directions to his listeners about what that new creation should look Picking up the image of the intimate bond of the marriage contract, he uses that as a vehicle to describe the intimate connection and relationship between Christ and the church. Jesus is the head, the church is his body...was another such image. Faithfullness, fidelity, mutual giving and sacrifice, all are key elements between God and his people. What the author is saying is that while there may in fact be a hierarchical relationship between man and women in principle, there is in fact in the love relationship the reality that the man loves his wife as his own body. He is saying that at root there is a covenant and contract to marriage not dissimilar to the covenant and contract between God and his people, but there is more to marriage than convenant and contract-there is love. Dedicated sacrificial love. Love that is a matter of the transformed heart, not the obedient slave. Such is the love of Christ for the church, Such should be the love of the church for Christ...such should be the love of the husband for the wife and the wife for the husband and in next week's passage we will learn should be the love of the parent for the child and the child for the parent and should be the love of the members of the community for each other.

The author of Ephesians is witnessing to what the kingdom of God is like. It is like a marriage — a covenant relationship between two parties — like a husband and wife. We find a clue to our relationship with God by how we live out our faith with each other. In serving one another in the name of Christ, we are serving Christ himself. Therefore, choose this day whom you shall serve, but for me, I will serve the Lord by loving my husband, and by loving you. AMEN

responses, we find an adjacent line that suggests not only that nucleus is a first acted on the second of the seco

the language eather often overlooked reality comes clear. The actuar is not problem about marriage as be is saving samething about the unusth. In iss set do n a doctrins is that the church is one benessed and substitution of the property of t sy the wolly initial to proceed the the new a eather. In the last three trappers are type the Actions to his listeners about what that new creation chould nex) by . I is a paper the image of the intimate band of the meastage contract, has week that as a vehicle to bear the intimate connection and relationants desire outlies and the charch. Jesus is the head, the charch is his body...was enters saw wage. Farthfullness, fidelity, mutual giving and sacrolice, all on . By resolute between God and his people. What the author is saying is that while chere may in fact be a hierarchical relationship between man act women in or bodyle, obere is in fact in the love relationship the reality that the can organic wite as his own body. He is saying that at room there is a magnet and collect to man lage not dissimilar to the communication contract occavean Sou and he jesting but there is more to sandage than convenant and contractthere is love. Gedicarel earnificial love. Love that is a market of the sansformed hearth att the obedient wieve. Such is the love of Carist for the charch. Such should be the loss of the draich for Christ...such should be love or the noshand for the wife and the wife con the husband and in next week's cascage se will rearn should be the love of the parent for the unitd and or of the for the resent and should be the love of the mem is or the community

For each other.

The author of Ephesians is witnessing to what the kingdom of God is like. It is a marriage - a coverant relationship active to two parties - times, is it is a marriage - a coverant relationship active two parties - times a masual can write. We find a clue to our relation inposition God to how we have our aid, which each other in the rame of the we are serving the ist inserted to the condition of the same of the serve to but for me, I will serve to that by toying my husband, and by it is good. AMEN

Ketty -8/26/85 Enclosed is the lapy of Sunday Sermon -Heorge, Bevery, Judy Dserr, Brian Gentz & yoursely wanted a lapyalso when you give Rechard made a capy of the membership list please include the fallawing suple which lare not on the list you gave me: Judy Paerr RR#3, Box 24 256-3840

256-3723 (Viola Cole will kisse assress)

256-9478 Michael + Katherine Clites 492-8465 Patty Van Houe (Judy will know her oddress) Thank you, thank your for your priendship a your ministry - I was over whelmed yesterany- The Land Continually draps blossing after blessed inteed -God Wess Faren UPR#3 Bor 24